

Does Devereaux Sawmill Inc meet FSC's Controlled Wood standard?

March 09, 2020

We are carrying out an audit of Devereaux Sawmill Inc located in Michigan, USA to see if their operations comply with FSC's [Controlled Wood](#) standard (FSC-STD-40-005 V3-1). We are writing to you to ask if you know of any reason why their operations would not meet this standard.

Controlled Wood is wood that meets minimum requirements and that can therefore be mixed with FSC wood and used in products with an FSC Mix label. In particular, the wood must not be:

- harvested illegally.
- harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights.
- harvested from forests with a high conservation value that is threatened by management activities.
- harvested from forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use.
- from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted.

We will carry out our audit on April 07, 2020. Here is how you should comment, if you wish to do so:

- When? You should send comments to us before or during the audit.
- How? You can comment by .
 - Meeting with a NEPCon staff member in person.
 - Phone to Angus McAuslan, NEPCon staff member from NEPCon Canada. His phone number is (416) 882-5576.
 - Writing to Angus McAuslan at amcauslan@nepcon.org
 - In person by arranging to meet with Angus McAuslan.
- If you want your comments to be confidential please notify us when you submit the comments.

If you provide comments, we will provide feedback to you within 30 days of the audit.

Devereaux Sawmill Inc has written a summary document that lists:

- the risks they have identified that they may source unacceptable wood
- the measures they implement to mitigate those risks.

We have attached this summary document to this letter.

If you wish to dispute any aspect of this forest certification process or the decision we reach as to whether this company meets the Controlled Wood standard, you can access our Dispute Resolution Policy at www.nepcon.org.

Thank you for any help you are able to provide.

If you have any recommendations for contacting other stakeholders that may have an interest in providing comments on this company and audit, we would also gladly receive these from you.

Yours sincerely,

Angus McAuslan
Specialist, supply chain
NEPCon Canada

FSC Controlled Wood Due Diligence System Public Summary

Note: Guidance on how to use this document is in grey italic font and should be deleted from the final version

1. General information

Organisation name:	Devereaux Sawmill Inc.
FSC certificate code:	NC – COC – 007223
Organisation’s DDS contact person:	Dave Segerlind
DDS prepared/assisted by:	<i>Dave Segerlind, form template by Nepcon</i>
Date last reviewed/updated (by the organisation):	January 7, 2020

2. Suppliers

Participating site	Non-certified material type sourced	Exact number of suppliers	Supplier type(s)	Average no. of tiers in the supply chains	Approximate or exact number of sub-suppliers
<i>Name of organisation’s site. All applicable sites shall be included.</i>	<i>Describe the type of product supplied e.g. logs, sawn logs, chips, wood pulp, etc.</i>	<i>Number of suppliers directly supplying material to the site</i>	<i>E.g. Forest management enterprise, Broker/trader without physical possession, Primary processor, Secondary processor, Distributor/wholesaler.</i>	<i>Average number of organisations within the supply chains, from forest to suppliers.</i>	<i>Total number of organisations that are sub-suppliers (indirect suppliers, or suppliers of your direct suppliers) within all supply chains</i>
Devereaux Sawmill Inc. 2872 N. Hubbardston Rd, Pewamo, MI 48873	Logs & sawn logs	18	Forest Management enterprise, Primary processor	1.2	15

3. Supply areas

Supply area	Controlled wood category	Reference to risk assessment used	Risk designation
<p><i>The description should allow the identification of an area with a homogeneous risk designation in the applicable risk assessment for each controlled wood category. This is a geographic description (including country of origin) and may also include a functional scale/source type, where the risk assessment differentiates risk based on characteristics such as type of forest (e.g. natural forest or plantation), ownership (e.g. state or private-owned), etc.</i></p>		<p><i>If an NRA or CNRA is used, include the document title on FSC Document Centre. E.g. the title for the CNRA for Poland is “FSC-CNRA-PL V1-1” (see https://ic.fsc.org/en/document-center/id/238).</i></p> <p><i>If a company risk assessment or extended company risk assessment is used, write this and refer to the Annex containing the risk assessment.</i></p>	<p><i>Select the relevant risk designation for the supply area and controlled wood category from the drop-down menu.</i></p>
<p>Temperate forests of Ontario, Canada counties of..</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Algoma - Bruce - Chatham-Kent - Cochrane - Dufferin - Durham - Elgin - Essex - Grey - Haldimand - Haliburton - Halton - Hamilton - Huron - Kawartha Lakes - Lambton - Middlesex - Muskoka - Niagra - Nipissing - Nipissing - Norfolk - Northumberland - Oxford - Parry sound - Peel - Perth - Peterborough - Simcoe - Sudbury - Thunder bay - TimiskamingBrant - Toronto - Waterloo 	1	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	2	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	3	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	4	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	5	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wellington - York <p>, Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Doniphan county Kansas, Missouri, Indiana, New York and Kentucky Counties of...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Boone - Bracken - Campbell - Carroll - Gallatin - Grant - Kenton - Mason - Owen - Pendleton - Trimble 			
Temperate forests of Ohio, West Virginia and Pennsylvania within 150 miles of Sugarcreek, OH. Some timber in this zone lies in the Appalachian Region, Mesophytic Cove Sites.	1	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	2	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	3	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Specified risk
	4	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	5	FSC-NRA-US V1-0	Low risk
	1		Choose an item.
	2		Choose an item.
	3		Choose an item.
	4		Choose an item.
	5		Choose an item.

4. Risk assessment and mitigation

4.a Risk mitigation for the origin of the material

Copy the table for each supply area. Add information about control measures for each indicator that is designated **specified or unspecified risk** in the relevant risk assessment (*deleting rows for indicators that are low risk or aren't found in the applicable risk assessment*) and complete the table.

If you only source from low risk areas, delete the table and state **"N/A, all supply areas are low risk"**.

Supply area:		
Indicator	Control Measures	Findings from field verification if undertaken as a control measure

<p>Number of the indicators designated specified or unspecified risk in the applicable risk assessment. Note that the number of applicable indicators will change depending on the type of risk assessment used, and not all will be applicable to company risk assessments and 'old' national risk assessments.</p>	<p>Describe the control measures implemented to mitigate the risk and describe their desired outcome. Describe the activities conducted to verify the effectiveness of the control measures. Include information on the cycle (how often you conduct verification), number of audits, justification of sampling intensity, and the key results of the audits. If you found non-conformities, state steps taken to address them.</p>	<p>Summarise findings, if field verification was conducted. Describe steps taken to address any non-conformities found, unless confidential. If information is deemed confidential and not published, provide a justification for this.</p>
--	---	---

Controlled wood category 1. Illegally harvested wood

1.1	Low Risk	
1.2	Low Risk	
1.3	Low Risk	
1.4	Low Risk	
1.5	Low Risk	
1.6	Low Risk	
1.7	Low Risk	
1.8	Low Risk	
1.9	Low Risk	
1.10	Low Risk	
1.11	Low Risk	
1.12	Low Risk	
1.13	Low Risk	
1.14	Low Risk	
1.15	Low Risk	
1.16	Low Risk	
1.17	Low Risk	
1.18	Low Risk	
1.19	Low Risk	
1.20	Low Risk	
1.21	Low Risk	

Controlled wood category 2. Wood harvested in violation of traditional and human rights

2.1	Low Risk	
2.2	Low Risk	
2.3	Low Risk	
2.4	Low Risk	
2.5	Low Risk	

Controlled wood category 3. Wood from forests in which high conservation values are threatened by management activities

3.1	<i>Provide a monetary donation to the Nature Conservancy of Ohio. With specification that it is to be used toward education of the social benefits and values of Mesophytic Cove Sites (MCS), how to identify them in the field, threats from incompatible forest management activities (as described in the FSC US National Risk Assessment), and opportunities for conservation through management that enhances MCS and reduces or eliminates these threats.</i>	
3.2	<i>Low Risk</i>	
3.3	<i>Low Risk</i>	
3.4	<i>Low Risk</i>	
3.5	<i>Low Risk</i>	
3.6	<i>Low Risk</i>	
Controlled wood category 4. Wood from forests being converted to plantations or non-forest use		
4.1	<i>Low Risk</i>	
Controlled wood category 5. Wood from forests in which genetically modified trees are planted		
5.1	<i>Low Risk</i>	

4.b Risk assessment and mitigation for mixing in the supply chain

Participating site	Supply chain type	No. of tiers	Risk of mixing	Control measures	Findings from field verification if undertaken as a control measure
<i>This table shall be filled for each applicable participating site (listed in the table in Section 2)</i>	<p><i>Describe the supply chain e.g.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Wood delivered and purchased directly from concession holder to Organisation's log yard</i> <i>Wood delivered and purchased directly from concession holder to Organisation's log yard, but purchased through a round wood trader.</i> <i>Wood delivered from forest to railway terminal and transported by train to organisation.</i> <p><i>and state the relevant supply area, or state that the material previously had an FSC claim but was sourced from a non-FSC certified (chain of custody) supply chain.</i></p>	<i>'Tiers' indicates the legal entities taking ownership of the wood from harvesting to the organisation purchasing it. If there is only 1 tier, it means that wood is purchased directly from the concession holder.</i>	<i>Summarise the risk assessment of mixing in this supply chain. Justify any conclusions.</i>	<i>If risk is identified, state what actions are being taken to mitigate that risk. Describe the activities that have been conducted by the organisation to verify the effectiveness of the control measures. Include information on the cycle (how often verification is conducted), number of audits, justification of sampling intensity, and the key results of the audits. If non-conformities were found, state steps taken to address them.</i>	<i>Summarise findings, if field verification was conducted. Describe steps taken to address any non-conformities found, unless confidential. If information is deemed confidential and not published, provide a justification for this.</i>
<i>Devereaux Sawmill Inc.</i>	<i>Wood purchased from the concession holder and delivered directly to Devereaux Sawmill Inc.</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Review of LSA and trucking slips.</i>	<i>Determined that current supply radiuses declared roughly match the logical radiuses of the LSA.</i>

5. Technical experts used in the development of control measures

N/A, technical experts were not required.

6. Stakeholder consultation processes

N/A, stakeholder consultation not required

7. Complaints procedure

We encourage stakeholders who have suggestions for improvements, comments, or complaints related to our controlled wood due diligence system to contact Devereaux Sawmill Inc at www.DevereauxSawmill.com by mail, email, or phone. We commit to follow up on stakeholder input as soon as we receive it and to provide stakeholders with feedback within 2 weeks.

Provide the organisation's complaints procedure. The procedure must satisfy the requirements of Section 7 of the standard.

Annex

Include all company risk assessments and extended company risk assessments as annexes.